# Charge "asymmetry" from the isospin symmetry in pp $\to {\rm pp}\pi^+\pi^-$

I. Seluzhenkov<sup>1,a</sup>, M. Schepkin<sup>1</sup>, and H. Clement<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Institute of Theoretical and Experimental Physics, B. Cheremushkinskaja 25, Moscow, 117259, Russia

<sup>2</sup> Physikalisches Institut der Universität Tübingen, Morgenstelle 14, D-72076 Tübingen, Germany

Received: 30 September 2002 / Published online: 22 October 2003 – © Società Italiana di Fisica / Springer-Verlag 2003

**Abstract.** Charge "asymmetry" meant as  $\sigma_{+-} = d\sigma/dM_{p\pi^+} - d\sigma/dM_{p\pi^-}$  is easy to reproduce by the simple-minded reaction mechanism, which stems on the interference of  $\Delta^{++}$  and  $\Delta^0$  excited in one of the colliding protons. High-quality experimental data for the  $pp \rightarrow pp\pi^+\pi^-$  reaction taken at CELSIUS storage ring with the PROMICE/WASA detector can be described by the Lorentz-invariant phenomenological approach. The same approach is applied for the description of the asymmetry between  $M_{pp\pi^+}$  and  $M_{pp\pi^-}$  invariant-mass spectra.

**PACS.** 13.75.-n Hadron-induced low- and intermediate-energy reactions and scattering (energy  $\leq 10 \text{ GeV}$ ) – 25.40.Ve Other reactions above meson production thresholds (energies > 400 MeV)

### 1 Introduction

Recent measurements of the two-pion production,  $pp \rightarrow pp\pi^+\pi^-$  at the CELSIUS storage ring [1] reveal a pronounced asymmetry between  $p\pi^+$  and  $p\pi^-$ , as well as between  $pp\pi^+$  and  $pp\pi^-$  invariant-mass spectra.

In this paper a possibility of the description of the observed anisotropy via  $\Delta(1232)$  excitation in the intermediate state off one of the participating protons is examined. As follows from the isospin symmetry, contributions of  $\Delta^{++}$  and  $\Delta^{0}$  are different, their relative contributions to the amplitude being independent of the isospin of the exchanged mesons. Besides, it looks probable that charge "asymmetry" is not sensitive to the particular dynamics of the  $\Delta(1232)$  excitation. Schematically such a mechanism is depicted in figs. 1(a)-(b). Figure 1(c) stands for the contributions not concerned with  $\Delta(1232)$  excitation (non- $\Delta$  terms). One of the possible scenarios of this kind has been considered in ref. [2]. The authors of this paper claim that the leading contribution is due to the excitation of the Roper resonance  $N^*(1440)$  decaying as  $N^* \to \Delta \pi$ or directly  $N^* \to N\pi\pi$ . However, the phenomenological approach [1] based on the results of the work [2] is not capable of describing the charge "asymmetry" observed in the reaction  $pp \to pp\pi^+\pi^-$ .

A simple phenomenological approach, discussed in this paper, allows to successfully describe the observed "asymmetry" within the framework of minimal assumptions.



Fig. 1. Diagrams for the two-pion production reaction  $pp \rightarrow pp\pi^+\pi^-$ : (a) and (b):  $\Delta(1232)$  excitation; (c): non- $\Delta$  terms.

The approach is Lorentz invariant, and is therefore applicable at higher energies.

# 2 Amplitude of the reaction pp $\rightarrow$ pp $\pi^+\pi^-$

The Lorentz-invariant reaction amplitude, corresponding to the diagrams in figs. 1(a)-(c) can be written as

$$\mathcal{A} \sim A + B \ k_1^{\mu} k_2^{\nu} \left[ \mathcal{D}_{\mu\nu}(P_{\Delta^0}) + 3\mathcal{D}_{\mu\nu}(P_{\Delta^{++}}) \right] , \qquad (1)$$

where  $k_{1,2}$  are pions 4-momenta,  $P_{\Delta^0}$  and  $P_{\Delta^{++}}$  are 4-momenta of the  $\Delta^0$  and  $\Delta^{++}$ , respectively. A and B are considered as smooth functions of  $k_1$  and  $k_2$ .  $\mathcal{D}_{\mu\nu}(P)$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> e-mail: seluzhen@heron.itep.ru

stands for the symmetric part of the  $\Delta$ -propagator:

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mu\nu}(P) = \frac{g_{\mu\nu}P^2 - P_{\mu}P_{\nu}}{P^2 - M^2 + iM\Gamma} .$$
 (2)

M and  $\Gamma$  are the mass and width of the  $\Delta(1232)$ resonance.  $\Delta^0$  and  $\Delta^{++}$  contribute to the amplitude with different weights (see also [2]). It is this consequence of the isospin symmetry which gives rise to the asymmetry  $\sigma_{+-}$ between  $p\pi^+$  and  $p\pi^-$  invariant-mass spectra. The same holds true for the asymmetry between  $pp\pi^+$  and  $pp\pi^$ spectra.

The complete expression for the  $\Delta$ -propagator used in the numerical calculations looks as (see, *e.g.*, the review [3])

$$\frac{P+M}{P^{2}-M^{2}+iM\Gamma} \times \left(-g_{\mu\nu}+\frac{\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{\nu}}{3}+\frac{\gamma_{\mu}P_{\nu}-\gamma_{\nu}P_{\mu}}{3M}+\frac{2}{3}\frac{P_{\mu}P_{\nu}}{M^{2}}\right) -\frac{2}{3}\frac{P^{2}-M^{2}}{M^{2}}\left[\gamma_{\mu}P_{\nu}-\gamma_{\nu}P_{\mu}+(\hat{P}+M)\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{\nu}\right] \cdot (3)$$

This particular form of the  $\Delta$ -propagator is dictated by the following physical requirements: low-energy  $\pi N$  scattering via  $\Delta$  should follow a typical *p*-wave behaviour. By neglecting small antisymmetric terms in the propagator we arrive at the simple form (1) for the amplitude.

#### **3** Results

Shown in figs. 2(a)-(b) are the numerical results of our calculations in comparison with the experimental data. We stress once again that the charge "asymmetry" is only slightly dependent on the particular parameterization of



Fig. 2. The asymmetry  $\sigma_{+-}$  (nb  $\cdot c^2/\text{MeV}$ ) as a function of  $p\pi$ -invariant mass (left) and  $pp\pi$ -invariant mass (right). Solid lines: results of the calculations with the amplitude (1); dots: experimental data taken at CELSIUS [1].

the functions A and B entering eq. (1). It points to an independence of the asymmetry from the details of the dynamics of the intermediate  $\Delta$  production thus leaving room for a fit of the other invariant-mass spectra.

This work has been supported by DFG (Europ. Graduiertenkolleg 683) and RFBR grant 00-15-96562.

## References

- 1. W. Brodowski et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 192301 (2002).
- L. Alvarez-Ruso, E. Oset, E. Hernández, Nucl. Phys. A 633, 519 (1998).
- A.I. Vaishtein, V.I. Zakharov, Usp. Fiz. Nauk 100, 225 (1970).

322